EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ζ	
ELPIDIO ARNULFO MUNGUIA, individual behalf of all others similarly situated,	4.4	
]	Plaintiffs,	COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT
-against-		
GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET C MARTINEZ and FERNANDO MARTIN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
	Defendants,	

1. Plaintiff, **ELPIDIO ARNULFO MUNGUIA**, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "<u>Plaintiff</u>"), by their attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2. Plaintiff, ELPIDIO ARNULFO MUNGUIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP., MARCELO MARTINEZ and FERNANDO MARTINEZ, as individuals (collectively hereinafter, "Defendants") to recover damages for Defendants' egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiff's employment with the Defendants at GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP., located at 229 Tapscott St., Brooklyn, NY 11212.
- 3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's other state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff ELPIDIO ARNULFO MUNGUIA residing at Brooklyn, NY 11226, was employed by Defendants from in or around January 2019 until in or around December 2020 and again from in or around May 2021 until in or around October 2021.
- Defendant, GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP., is a New York domestic business corporation, organized under the laws of the State of New York with a principal executive office located 1061 BEACH 20th STREET, FAR ROCKAWAY, NY 11691.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. is a corporation duly authorized to do business under the laws of the State of New York.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendants MARCELO MARTINEZ is the owner of corporate Defendant, GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.
- 12. Upon information and belief, MARCELO MARTINEZ is an agent of, GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.
- 13. Upon information and belief, MARCELO MARTINEZ is responsible for overseeing all daily operations of the Defendant's business at GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.
- 14. MARCELO MARTINEZ has power and authority over all the final personnel decisions of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.

- 15. MARCELO MARTINEZ has the power and authority over all final payroll decisions of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including the Plaintiff.
- 16. MARCELO MARTINEZ has the exclusive final power to hire the employees of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including the Plaintiff.
- 17. MARCELO MARTINEZ has exclusive final power over the firing and terminating of the employees of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including Plaintiff.
- 18. MARCELO MARTINEZ is responsible for determining, establishing, and paying the wages of all employees of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including the Plaintiff, setting their work schedules, and maintaining all their employment records of the business.
- 19. Accordingly, at all relevant times hereto, Defendant MARCELO MARTINEZ was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning and the intent of the FLSA, and the NYLL.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendants FERNANDO MARTINEZ is the Supervisor of corporate Defendant, GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.
- 21. Upon information and belief, FERNANDO MARTINEZ is responsible for overseeing all daily operations of the Defendant's business at GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.
- 22. FERNANDO MARTINEZ has power and authority over all the final personnel decisions of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.
- 23. FERNANDO MARTINEZ has the power and authority over all final payroll decisions of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including the Plaintiff.
- 24. FERNANDO MARTINEZ has the power to hire the employees of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including the Plaintiff.
- 25. FERNANDO MARTINEZ has power over the firing and terminating of the employees of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including Plaintiff.
- 26. FERNANDO MARTINEZ is responsible for determining, establishing, and paying the wages of all employees of GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. including the Plaintiff, setting their work schedules, and maintaining all their employment records of the business.
- 27. Accordingly, at all relevant times hereto, Defendant FERNANDO MARTINEZ was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning and the intent of the FLSA, and the NYLL.

28. At all times relevant to the allegations contained in the complaint, GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. was, and is, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP. (i) has purchased goods, tools, and supplies for its business through the streams and channels of interstate commerce, and has had employees engaged in interstate commerce, and/ or in the production of goods intended for commerce, and handle, sell and otherwise work with goods and material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 29. Plaintiff ELPIDIO ARNULFO MUNGUIA was employed by Defendants at GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP., as a produce worker and stocker, responsible for maintaining fresh fruits and vegetables in the store for customers to buy while performing related miscellaneous duties for the Defendants, from in or around January 2019 until in or around December 2020 and again from in or around May 2021 until in or around October 2021.
- 30. During Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff was paid by Defendants a flat weekly salary of:
 - i. \$600.00 per week from in or around January 2019 until in or around June 2019;
 - ii. \$630.00 per week from in or around July 2019 until in or around December 2019;
 - iii. \$780.00 per week from in or around January 2020 until in or around December 2020;
 - iv. \$810.00 per week from in or around May 2021 until in or around October 2021.
- 31. Plaintiff alleges he regularly worked six (6) days per week, with the exclusion of Wednesdays from in or around January 2019 until in or around December 2020 and again from in or around May 2021 until in or around October 2021.

- 32. Plaintiff regularly started work approximately 10:00 a.m. and ended approximately at 9:00 p.m., or later from in or around from in or around January 2019 until in or around December 2020.
- 33. Plaintiff regularly started work approximately at 10:00 a.m. or 11:00 a.m. and ended approximately at 8:00 p.m. or 9:00 p.m. from in or around May 2021 until in or around October 2021,
- 34. Thus, Plaintiff was regularly required to work approximately sixty-six (66) hours, or more each week for the Defendants during his employment by the Defendants from in or around January 2019 until in or around December 2020, and regularly worked approximately sixty hours (60) from in or around May 2021 until in or around October 2021.
- 35. Although Plaintiff regularly worked approximately sixty-six (66) hours, or more each week for the Defendants during his employment by the Defendants from in or around January 2019 until in or around December 2020, and sixty hours (60) from in or around May 2021 until in or around October 2021, the Defendants did not pay Plaintiff at a wage rate of time and a half (1.5) for his hours regularly worked over forty (40) in a work week, a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 36. Moreover, although Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of ten (10) hours or more hours per day, the Defendants did not pay Plaintiff an extra hour at the legally prescribed minimum wage for each day that Plaintiff worked in excess of ten (10) hours, a blatant violation of the spread of hours provisions contained in the NYLL and related state regulations.
- 37. Furthermore, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 38. Furthermore, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 39. Additionally, Defendants willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English, of her applicable regular rate of pay, regular pay day, and all such information as required by NYLL §195(1).

- 40. Defendants willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with any wage statements, upon each payment of his wages, as required by NYLL §195(3).
- 41. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks statutory interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 42. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself, and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are hereafter, the "Collective Class."
- 43. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as produce workers, stockers or any other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wages.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 20 to 30 employees during the relevant statutory period who Defendants subject(ed) to similar payment structures that violate applicable law.
- 45. Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to regularly work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
- 46. Defendants' unlawful conduct herein has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 47. Defendants had knowledge that the Plaintiff and the Collective Class regularly performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 48. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff, as well as the Collective Class.
- 49. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff, and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants

- who have been denied overtime pay and proper minimum wage pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 50. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 51. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the whole putative class.
- 52. Plaintiff and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 53. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 54. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 55. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) et seq.
- 56. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in interstate commerce and/or was engaged in the production of goods for/through the channels of interstate commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a), et seq.
- 57. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 58. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs' overtime wages for all hours regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).

- 59. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made any good faith effort to comply with their obligations under the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
- 60. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 61. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 62. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 63. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs' overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiffs were entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3, et seq.
- 64. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Unpaid Spread of Hour Compensation Under New York Labor Law

- 65. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 66. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights by failing to pay Plaintiff an additional hour of pay at minimum wage for each day Plaintiff regularly worked more than ten (10) hours, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Labor Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 N.Y. C. R. R. § 142-2.4, et seq.

67. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants his unpaid spread of hour compensation, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N. Y. Labor Law § 663 (1).

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 68. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 69. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English, and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his applicable regular rate of pay, regular pay day, and all such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 70. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 71. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 72. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements, upon each payment of his wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 73. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff's unpaid spread of hours compensation;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

f. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; together with such further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: January 25, 2022

Kew Gardens, NY

Roman Avshalumov

Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. 80-02 Kew Gardens Road, Suite 601 Kew Gardens, New York 11415

Telephone: 718-263-9591

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ELPIDIO ARNULFO MUNGUIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP., MARCELO MARTINEZ and FERNANDO MARTINEZ as individuals,

Defendants,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for the Plaintiff 80-02 Kew Gardens Road Suite 601 Kew Gardens, New York 11415

Phone: (718) 263-9591 Fax: (718) 263-9598

To: service via mailing;

GREEN VILLAGE MEAT MARKET CORP.

1061 BEACH 20th Street Far Rockaway, NY 11691

229 Tapscott Street Brooklyn, NY 11212-3906

MARCELO MARTINEZ

229 Tapscott Street Brooklyn, NY 11212-3906

16122 92ND ST HOWARD BEACH, NY 11414

FERNANDO MARTINEZ
229 Tapscott Street Brooklyn, NY 11212-3906